

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



Itc

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/858,183	05/14/2001	Kerry Bradley	SJ1-010US	9316	
29149	7590 10/23/2002				
LEE & HAYES, PLLC			EXAMINER		
421 W. RIVI SPOKANE,	ERSIDE AVENUE, SUITE WA 99201	E 500	KHAN, OMAR A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762		
		DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	20				
Office Action Surrey	09/858,183	BRADLEY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Omar A Khan	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	obside the statutory minimum of thirty (30) within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the continuity of the statutory of the sta	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication	1.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M							
1	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the merits i , 453 O.G. 213.	<b>S</b> .				
4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> are subject to restriction and/or el <b>Application Papers</b>	ection requirement.		ı				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted		aminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	s: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents i	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.	y documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not receiv	ed.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p	phoney under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application	1).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provised 15. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	sional application has been re- priority under 35 U.S.C. 88 12	ceived. 0 and/or 121					
Attachment(s)		9 GHG/01 121.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)   Notice of Informat	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No. 5					

Art Unit: 3762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a cardiac stimulation device, classified in class 607,
     subclass 9.
  - II. Claims 11-16, drawn to an implantable cardiac impedance measuring device, classified in class 607, subclass 8.
  - III. Claims 17-32, drawn to a cardiac stimulation device, classified in class 607, subclass 2.
  - IV Claims 33-66, drawn to a method of measuring an impedance, classified in class 607, subclass 8.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require first and second pairs of electrodes. The subcombination has separate utility such as not having computer readable media but implementing the instructions in processor for direct telemetry to a central monitoring and storage station.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

Art Unit: 3762

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a switch means. The subcombination has separate utility such as not having computer readable media but by implementing the instructions in processor for direct telemetry to a central monitoring and storage station.

Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a stimulation circuit. The subcombination has separate utility such as not having a switch means but by having separate impedance measuring circuits.

Inventions IV and I, II, III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus not requiring a switch means, or a stimulation circuit or one or more computer readable media or processor but displays voltage-current relationships for impedance calculation by a medical practitioner.

Art Unit: 3762

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Embodiment 1 represented by Figs 3-8 directed to a device for measuring a respiration parameter, Embodiment 2 represented by Figs 9-15 directed to a device for measuring left ventricular wall dynamics, and Embodiment 3 represented by Figs 16-22, directed to a device for measuring left ventricular volume measurements.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no claims that are allowable and generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3762

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar A Khan whose telephone number is (703) 308-0959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0873.

Omar A Khan

October 18, 2002

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER